Editorial

Statistical Concepts, tools and its application errors in medical research

Introduction

Statistics and its applications are called back bone in any kind of scientific research. It is very much important, essential and mandatory tools in medical research. Statistical errors can be reflected as incorrect conclusions, effect in the validity of studies, sometimes overestimate or underestimate the effects of drug efficacy/medication/in the line of treatment. [1] The statistical section is an important part of any research work because findings and inferences are not accurate unless they have been validated by various statistical tools and tests. Because most medical researchers lack significant statistical training and fail to work with someone who does, numerous errors are often made in data analysis and presentation in published medical studies. If these errors are publicized, they tend to spread because new scholars notice them and attempt to replicate them. Fortunately, these errors are frequently identified during the peer-review process, and papers are returned for revision. Here, I discussed about some of the mistakes that can exert impact on the accuracy and reliability of research findings; it is important that medical professionals are aware of these issues.

Various biases including sampling bias: This occurs when the sample of study participants is not representative of the population being studied, and can lead to inaccurate conclusions relating to the effects of a particular intervention in that population or the inaccurate generalizability of the results. [2] For example, a study on the prevalence of obesity that only includes participants from a particular geographic region; this will not be representative of the broader population. Another example is a study on the efficacy of a new treatment for a particular condition that only includes participants who have a milder form of the condition; this would overestimate the efficacy of the treatment in the general population.

Calculation of inadequate sample size: The validity of a study would be negatively affected if the calculated sample size was insufficient or incorrectly calculated. Samples should not be too large or too small, as both have limitations that can jeopardize research findings. A sample that is too small may prevent the results from being extrapolated, whereas a sample that is too large may amplify the detection of differences, thus emphasizing statistical differences that are not clinically significant. To ensure adequate estimation of suitable sample size to detect a significant effect of the intervention and that the study has sufficient statistical power to detect such an effect, it is necessary to perform a sample size calculation. [3]

Controlling of confounding variables: These are variables that are related to both the exposure and the outcome being studied and can lead to false associations. Controlling for confounding variables is important to isolate the true effect of the exposure. For example, a study on the relationship between meat consumption and heart disease that fails to account for other factors that may contribute to heart disease, such as smoking or physical activity, or a study on the effectiveness of a new treatment for tuberculosis that fails to account for differences in disease severity or other factors that may influence treatment outcomes. [4]

Errors in the application of statistical tests: Sometimes, one can choosing the wrong test for a particular dataset affects the validation of observations during medical research by the clinicians. Without checking of whether the collected data is following parametric or non-parametric then only fix the appropriate statistical tests. For example: the use of a parametric t-test instead of a non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test when the data does not meet the assumptions of normality, or the failure to apply Yates' continuity correction to the chi-squared, particularly when the sample size is very small. [3]

Types of Errors: Usually, there are two types of errors are happening in medical research. They are 1. Type I Error; 2. Type II

Article Summary: Submitted: 14-July-2026 **Revised:** 27-July-2026 **Accepted:** 16-August-2026 **Published:** 30-September-2026

Quick Response Code:

Web Site

http://ijmsnr.com/

DOI

10.55349/ijmsnr.20266334

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non- Commercial- ShareAlike 4.0 International License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given, and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to cite this article: Vasudevan S. Statistical Concepts, tools and its application errors in medical research. Int J Med Sci and Nurs Res 2026 Jul-Sep;6(3):3–4. **DOI:** 10.55349/ijmsnr.20266334

Error. Type I errors (False Positive) occur when a study incorrectly concludes that there is a significant effect when there is not (erroneously finding a difference). These errors can result from the use of inappropriate statistical tests or inadequate sample sizes. For example, a clinical trial of a new drug concludes that it is effective based on statistical significance, but the effect size is very small and may not be clinically meaningful. This means that the study concludes that the drug is effective when it is not. Type II errors (False Negative) occur when a study incorrectly concludes that there is no significant effect when there is. For example: a clinical trial of a new drug concludes that it is not effective based on statistical insignificance; sometimes, the effect size is actually large but, clinically meaningful. [3]

Errors occurred to adjust for multiple comparisons: When multiple comparisons are performed in a study, the probability of a Type I error increases. For this, statistical adjustments should be made to control the overall false positive rate. For example, a study is conducted to test the efficacy of a new drug for treating a particular disease status. The study involves testing the drug on 200 patients and compares their outcomes to a control group of 200 patients who receive a placebo. The researchers measure several outcomes, including blood pressure, heart rate, and cholesterol levels. After data analysis, the researchers find that the drug appears to have a statistically significant (p-value < 0.05) effect on reducing blood pressure ($p = 0.04$), but not on heart rate ($p = 0.30$) or cholesterol levels ($p = 0.20$). Hence, the researcher concludes that the drugs is effective in reducing blood pressure and recommend that particular drug for clinical use. But, the researchers failed to adjust for multiple comparisons when did their data analysis. This means that they didn't consider the fact that they tested multiple outcomes (blood pressure, heart rate, and cholesterol levels) and that there is a higher chance of obtaining a false positive result when testing multiple outcomes. [5]

Errors in using of p-values: p-values are often misinterpreted as a measure of effect size or clinical relevance, when in fact they only indicate the probability of observing the study results by chance. It is important to interpret p-values in the context of effect size and clinical relevance. For example, a study that finds a significant association between taking omega 3 and better control of type II diabetes with a p-value of 0.04, but the effect size is so small that it is unlikely to have clinical relevance. Based on this result, the researchers conclude that the treatment is effective and recommend it for clinical use. However, the p-value alone does not tell us the magnitude or clinical relevance of the treatment effect. It only tells us the probability of obtaining the observed difference in symptom reduction between treatment and control groups if there is no true effect of the treatment. The effect size, on the other hand, tells us the magnitude of the treatment effect in terms of the size of the difference between the treatment and control groups. A small p-value can correspond to a large effect size, a small effect size, or something in between. [3]

Publication bias: This occurs when studies with positive or statistically significant results are more likely to be published than those with negative or non-significant results, thus leading to overestimation of the true effect size. Publication bias can occur for a variety of reasons, including the desire of researchers to publish positive results, the bias of journal editors and reviewers towards positive studies, and the tendency of researchers to focus on statistically significant results rather than the overall pattern of findings. Publication bias can have serious consequences as it can lead to incorrect conclusions about the effectiveness of treatments or interventions. [6]

Conclusion

From this article, I have concluded that the researchers in any field have to use the appropriate statistical tests in the appropriate places. If a researcher has to collect an adequate sample size for their respective study, then only one can get significant results in their study. Various types of biases will be controlled by them. Errors are also to be controlled in their study.

References

1. Slutsky DJ. Statistical errors in clinical studies. J Wrist Surg. 2013;2(4):285-7. **DOI:** 10.1055/s-0033-1359421. **PMID:** 24436830; **PMCID:** PMC3826246.
2. **Sampling Bias. Available from:** https://www.simplypsychology.org/sampling-bias-types-examples-how-to-avoid-it.html **[Last Accessed on: 20th March 2026]**
3. Vasudevan S. Sample Size Calculation in Various Medical Research. Int J Med Sci and Nurs Res2024;4(3):22–29. **DOI:** 10.55349/ijmsnr.2024432229
4. Pourhoseingholi MA, Baghestani AR, Vahedi M. How to control confounding effects by statistical analysis. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench 2012;5(2):79-83. **PMID:** 24834204; **PMCID:** PMC4017459.
5. Simas R, Maestri F, Normando D. Controlling false positive rates in research and its clinical implications. Dental Press J Orthod 2014;19(3):24-5. **DOI:** 10.1590/2176-9451.19.3.024-025.ebo. **PMID:** 25162562; **PMCID:** PMC4296621.
6. Nair AS. Publication bias - Importance of studies with negative results! Indian J Anaesth. 2019 Jun;63(6):505-507. doi: 10.4103/ija.IJA_142_19. PMID: 31263309; PMCID: PMC6573059.

Senthilvel Vasudevan

Deputy Editor-In-Chief,
International Journal of Medical Sciences and Nursing Research (IJMSNR), Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

Assistant Professor of Statistics,
Biostatistics and Epidemiology,
Department of Community Medicine,
Sri Venkateshwaraa Medical College Hospital & Research Centre,
Ariyur, Pondicherry, India.

Email ID: drvsenthilvel@svmchrc.ac.in and senthilvel99@gmail.com

Publish your research articles with
International Journal of Medical Sciences and Nursing Research
Website: http://ijmsnr.com/ **eISSN:** 2583-0996